

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,939	03/16/2004	Markus Hammes	P2003,0168	2905	
7590 12/06/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ESCHWEILER & ASSOCIATES, LLC NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING 629 EUCLID AVENUE SUITE 1210 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			DAGOSTA, S	DAGOSTA, STEPHEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2683	2683	
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second s				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/801,939	HAMMES ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-2 This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 7-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7,8,11-13,16,19,22 and 23 is/are rest 7) Claim(s) 9,10,14,15,17,18,20 and 21 is/are construction and 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	rawn from consideration. jected. objected to. /or election requirement. ner. a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be drawing(s) is objected to be described in a securing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary amendment

The preliminary amendment is acknowledged and has been entered.

Accordingly, claims 1-6 are canceled and claims 7-23 have been added.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed <u>terminal disclaimer</u> in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to <u>overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory</u> <u>double patenting ground</u> provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

<u>Claims 7-13</u> provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 2004/0258137. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim a frequency-hopped and/or varying time/frequency communications system that measures signal strength.

Art Unit: 2683

Drawings

Page 3

Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

→ The examiner notes that figure 1 appears to firmly depict prior art but is unsure if figure 2 is prior art or a representative diagram of the applicant's inventive concept. If the latter, consider amending the specification's "Brief Description of Drawings" section to add, after figure 2's description "as per a preferred embodiment of the invention" (or similar).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grayson et al. US 5,995,816 and further in view of Sakuma US 6,671,331.

As per claim 7, Grayson teaches a method for determining frequency channel quality in a mobile radio system, comprising;

Art Unit: 2683

in a predetermined temporal sequence of transmit time intervals and receive time intervals, transmitting respective data blocks on respective frequency channels during respective ones of the transmit time intervals and receiving respective data blocks on respective frequency channels during respective ones of the receive time intervals (abstract teaches use of Frequency Hopping technology, which reads on transmitting at different times and frequencies. Also see C1, L9-17); and

for a first of the time intervals which has associated therewith a corresponding first frequency channel, making a received signal strength measurement on the first frequency channel (Abstract teaches making measurements on different frequencies) but is silent on during a period of time between the first time interval and a second of the time intervals that is adjacent the first time interval in the temporal sequence.

The primary examiner notes that Grayson is not specific as to the precise time the measurements can be made (and/or cannot be made). Hence **Sakuma** is put forth since he specifically teaches RSSI measurements/calculations during a guard time period, as specified by the applicant (specification, page 8, Lines 18-25):

According to the present invention, the threshold value for detecting a carrier is <u>measured in a guard time</u> period between a transmission burst and a reception burst. (C3, L13-16)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Grayson, such that it measures during a period of time between the first time interval and a second of the time intervals that is adjacent the first time interval in the temporal sequence, to provide means for measuring signals at any time interval during communications.

As per **claim 8**, Grayson teaches claim 7, including using frequency hopping to select the frequency channels (C1, L9-16).

Art Unit: 2683

<u>Claims 11, 16, 19 and 22</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grayson/Sakuma and further in view of Van der Tuijn et al. US 6,683,886 and Lemilainen et al. US 6,766,160.

As per claim 11, 16, 19 and 22, Grayson teaches claim 8/13/12/7 but is silent on including providing the mobile radio system as a Bluetooth system.

The primary examiner notes that frequency hopping is used by different communications systems such as GSM (per Grayson) and in Bluetooth. The examiner puts forth **Van der Tuijn** who teaches Bluetooth using frequency hopping:

In the Bluetooth communication protocol, a channel is represented by a pseudo-random hopping sequence through 23 or 79 radio frequency (RF) carriers. A channel hopping sequence may be derived from a unit unique address. A channel is divided into time slots (0 to 2.sup.27 -1 slots) counted cyclically. Channel slots are described in further detail below with reference to FIG. 5A-FIG. 5B. Individual time slots correspond to a <u>frequency hop in accordance with the Bluetooth</u> standard. Consecutive hops correspond to different hop frequencies and a nominal hop rate of 1600 hops/s is provided. (C4, L14-24)

For continuity's sake, the examiner also notes that there are dual-mode phones known in the art which support both GSM and Bluetooth communications, see **Lemilainen**:

"At least one proposal has been set forth by which to provide a <u>dual-mode</u> mobile terminal, operable in both a conventional cellular, such as a <u>GSM</u> (Global System for Mobile communications) communication system, and also a WIO network. In particular, one WIO network is proposed to utilize <u>Bluetooth</u> radio technology in which <u>Bluetooth</u> signals form the radio access medium between the mobile terminal and corresponding infrastructure of the WIO network". (C2, L13-28)

Art Unit: 2683

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Grayson, such that it includes providing the mobile radio system as a Bluetooth system, to support well known industry standard communications protocols.

<u>Claim 12</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grayson/Sakuma and further in view of Bergstrom et al. US 6,118,805.

As per claim 12, Grayson teaches claim 7, but is silent on including using adaptive frequency hopping to select the frequency channels.

Adaptive frequency hopping is well known in the art, also see **Bergstrom** who teaches the adaptive frequency hopping concept:

The FH adaptation module 34 is operative for implementing adaptive frequency hopping spread spectrum techniques in the system 10. (C4, L62-65)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Grayson, such that it uses adaptive frequency hopping to select the frequency channels, to provide means for supporting well known frequency hopping operations.

<u>Claim 13</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grayson/Sakuma and further in view of Gillis et al. US 5,323,447.

As per claim 13, Grayson teaches claim 12, but is silent on wherein said using step includes removing the first frequency channel from an adaptive frequency hopping pattern based on the measured received signal strength.

Gillis teaches removing channels from a frequency hopping pattern based on iterference:

The control unit stores each one of the interfering occurrences for this channel up to, for example, 50 occurrences after which the control unit starts a process which allows the base unit 10 and the handset unit 20 to remove this channel from

Art Unit: 2683

the frequency hopping cycle and replace it with a selected channel from the second group of channels. (C10, L34-53)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Grayson, such that said using step includes removing the first frequency channel from an adaptive frequency hopping pattern based on the measured received signal strength, to provide means for measuring RSSI/interference and using only those channels which have superior channel quality.

<u>Claim 23</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grayson/Sakuma and further in view of Bensky et al. US 6,859,761.

As per claim 23, Grayson teaches Claim 7, but is silent on wherein the transmit time intervals and the receive time intervals occur alternately in the temporal Sequence

The primary examiner believes frequency hopping to inherently have alternate transmit and receive times. He also puts forth **Bensky**, who teaches frequency hopping and alternating transmit/receive times:

The operation of this embodiment will be described with reference to FIGS. 8-9. As shown in FIG. 8, FHSS signal S1A is transmitted from base station transceiver 500 to remote unit 600. As shown in the timing diagram of FIG. 9, the S1A is comprised of a sequence of carriers f1, f2, f3 . . . fn. To implement a half-duplex system, where the return transmit carriers have the same frequency as the base station transmit carriers, transmit and receive operations must be time multiplexed. In this example, the carrier of S1A having a frequency of f.sub.0 is transmitted by the base station to the remote station during a first time interval (B=>R). Subsequently, the same phase-shifter carrier is received by the base station during a second time interval (R=>B). Next, f.sub.1 is transmitted and received during alternating time intervals

Art Unit: 2683

(B=>R) and (R=>B). In this way, the base station and remote station can utilize the same transmit band without interference. (C14, L36-52).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Grayson, such that the transmit time intervals and the receive time intervals occur alternately in the temporal Sequence, to provide means for supporting alternate transmit/receive communications methods as supported by frequency hopping systems.

Allowable Subject Matter

<u>Claims 9-10, 14-15, 17-18 and 20-21</u> objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record, alone or in combination, does not teach the following:

Claim 9: wherein the second time interval precedes the first time interval in the temporal sequence, and including locking onto the first frequency channel during a frequency locking portion of the period of time between the first and second time intervals, said making step including making the received signal strength measurement during the frequency locking portion and after locking onto the first frequency channel.

Claim 10: including providing the mobile radio system as a Bluetooth system.

Claim 14: wherein the second time interval precedes the first time interval in the temporal sequence, and including locking onto the first frequency channel during a frequency locking portion of the period of time between the first and second time intervals, said making step including making the received signal strength measurement during the frequency locking portion and after locking onto the first frequency channel.

Claim 15: including providing the mobile radio system as a Bluetooth system.

Claim 17: wherein the second time interval precedes the first time interval in the temporal sequence, and including locking onto the first frequency chrmnel during a

Art Unit: 2683

frequency locking portion of the period of time between the first and second time intervals, said making step including making the received siral strength measurement during the frequency locking portion and aûer locking onto the first frequency channel.

Claim 18: including providing the mobile radio system as a Bluetooth system.

Claim 20: wherein the second time interval precedes the first time interval in the temporal sequence and including locking onto the first frequency channel during a frequency locking portion of the period of time between the first and second time intervals, said making step including making the received signal strength measurement during the frequency locking portion and aûer locking onto the first frequency channel.

Claim 21: claim 20, including providing the mobile radio system as a Bluetooth system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Huang et al. US 5,448,569
- 2. Haartsen et al. US 6,519,236
- 3. Shuey et al. US 6,816,538
- 4. Marry et al. US 5,630,210
- 5. Han et al. US 6,597,929

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,939 Page 10

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta Primary Examiner